

Press Release

Contact: J. Bartlett

In line with our goal in our educating our members and in exposing the misconduct of those few judges who violate the law and the U.S. Constitution, we present the following example:
(Part 1 of a series)

July 28, 2006

It has been brought to our attention that Judge Robert J. Baron of the Circuit Court of Will County, Illinois, is engaged in professional misconduct. In addition to his lack of knowledge in the law, he is engaged in actions against the U.S. Government, and is bilking the State of Illinois.

Article I of the Constitution of the United States states:

“This Constitution, and the laws of the United States which shall be made in pursuance thereof; and all treaties made, or which shall be made, under the authority of the United States, shall be the supreme law of the land; and the judges in every state shall be bound thereby, anything in the Constitution or laws of any State to the contrary notwithstanding.”

The Supreme Law of the Land further states that:

"No state legislator or executive or judicial officer can war against the Constitution without violating his undertaking to support it." Cooper v. Aaron, 358 U.S. 1, 78 S.Ct. 1401 (1958).

Upon becoming an attorney, and then again upon becoming a judge, Robert J. Baron took an oath to support the Constitution; instead he engaged in actions which warred against the Constitution. He knowingly, conscientiously, deliberately, and intentionally made a mockery of the Supreme Law. He has acted in violation of the U.S. Constitution and without lawful authority.

A mandatory requirement of the Supreme Law of the Land, and of Illinois law, is that a person must not be deprived of his property without due process. At a minimum, procedural due process requires notice, an opportunity to respond, and a meaningful opportunity to be heard.

On November 1, 2004, the law firm of DUNN, MARTIN & MILLER, LTD., represented by their attorney Frank P. Andreano, appeared on behalf of the Respondent before Judge Robert J. Baron with a Motion which, according to the record of the case filed with the Clerk of the Circuit Court of Will County, was **not** served upon either the Petitioner or the Petitioner's attorneys. Without service upon them, the Petitioner and/or his attorneys, having no notice of the Motion, did not appear. The Petitioner, or his attorneys, did not receive Notice, did not have an opportunity to respond, and did not have a meaningful opportunity to be heard. The Petitioner's Constitutionally protected Right to Due Process was violated.

Upon reviewing the Motion, Judge Baron had a duty to also review the non-existent Notice of Motion associated with the Motion. He knew, or reasonably should have known, that no service

was made upon the other parties.

The November 1, 2004 Order issued by Judge Robert J. Baron was void, of no legal force or effect. It violated due process.

It is extremely interesting to note that paragraph numbered 3 of the November 1, 2004 Order stated that "Balance of check to be held in trust." As there was no lawfully valid Motion before the Court, and, even if there was, there was no Motion before the Court to hold the balance of the check in trust or to be held in trust by any party to the action, it can only be reasonably presumed that the balance of the check was to be held by Judge Robert J. Baron. The court record is silent as to who else may have the money.

Judge Baron dismissed the action on February 27, 2006. Judge Baron has not returned the balance of the check to the Petitioner, or ordered any party to return the balance to the Petitioner. Note: as the disposition of the entire check was not lawfully before the Court, the entire amount of the check must be returned to the Petitioner.

Judge Robert J. Baron, where is the money?

Were the proceeds of the check pocketed by Judge Robert J. Baron as a bribe? From whom? As the only parties in that case before the Court on that day were the law firm of DUNN, MARTIN & MILLER, LTD., represented by their attorney Frank P. Andreano, and the Respondent, it is reasonable to assume that the law firm of DUNN, MARTIN & MILLER, LTD., Frank P. Andreano, and/or the Respondent were the parties that may have bribed Judge Robert J. Baron.

Whenever a judge acts in violation of the Supreme Law of the Land, he acts without lawful authority and in violation of the U. S. Constitution.

The Supreme Law of the Land holds that whenever any judge acts without lawful authority, that judge is engaged in treason.

cc: Chief Justice Robert Thomas
Internet

bcc: