

October 26, 2008

Mr. Jeffrey S. Harris
1701 S. First Ave. - #204
Maywood, IL 60153

Dear Mr. Harris:

Re: Meyer v. Meyer, case no. 99-D-283

**NOTICE OF IMMEDIATE TERMINATION FOR CAUSE, AND
DEMAND FOR RETURN OF MONIES AND ALL DOCUMENTS**

This letter is to confirm my email Notice to you on October 26, 2008, immediately terminating your legal services to me, for causes, including but not limited to those, stated below, and further that this is a demand for the immediate return of all monies paid to Jeffrey Harris, and/or the Law Firm of Jeffrey Harris, for the security monies charged in Meyer v. Meyer, case no. 99-D-283 (Will County), and for the immediate return of all original papers, sent, received, or filed, or that I have provided you, pertaining to the above referenced case:

1. That you have demonstrated your lack of competence and knowledge in the law, in violation of Illinois Rules of Professional Responsibility ("IRPC) Rule 1.1; that you did not comply with my lawful instructions; that you failed to communicate with me; that you violated my due process rights, etc.
2. That you deposited my payment for retainer fee, check no. 1138, on or about 12/18/2006, in the amount of \$2,000., and check no. 1148, on or about 1/05/2007, in the amount of \$3,000., as and for payment of security retainers, directly into your business account and not in a proper client-escrow account, as required by IRPC Rule 1.15, and *Dowling v. Chicago Options Associates, Inc.* By doing so, you deliberately, intentionally, knowingly, and/or conscientiously exposed my personal funds to future, unknowing, and/or unprotected risks that I knew not of, did not agree to, nor did you inform me of such risks.

You have engaged in misappropriation and/or conversion of my personal funds.

4. That by not depositing my personal funds into an interest-bearing client-escrow account, you engaged in a fraud upon the Illinois Supreme Court and the Lawyers Trust Fund in that the interest that should have gone to the IOLTA fund, did not go to such fund.

You have engaged in misappropriation of escrow funds.

5. That you have deliberately, intentionally, knowingly, and/or conscientiously not complied

with IRPC Rule 8.3 in not reporting the alleged, suspected, possible, or actual misconduct of other attorneys and Illinois judges to the proper authorities. The case of *Skolnick v. Altheimer & Grey* places an individual, mandatory, and absolute duty upon you, and/or each attorney in your firm to do so.

6. That on May 18, 2006, Judge Baron issued, without lawful authority, a void Memorandum of Judgment against Ana Meyer.
7. That on July 13, 2007, attorney Jeffrey Harris filed a "Motion Pursuant to 735-ILCS-5/2-1401 to Vacate the Consent Judgments entered in this Cause on June 23, 2004 and April 28, 2006". That in this Motion, attorney Harris presented to the Court the multiple reasons why the Judgments awarded by Judge Baron to attorney Edward Jaquays and/or the Law Offices of Edward R. Jaquays, were void, and/or were in violation of the law.

In spite of being a void judgment, Jaquays filed an unlawful lien upon the real property to his client, Ana Meyer. Upon filing this unlawful lien, attorney Jaquays engaged in the unlawful act of slander of title, among others.

Under Illinois law, attorney Jeffrey Harris had an absolute, individual, and mandatory duty to report the misconduct of attorney Jaquays to the Illinois Attorney discipline commission. *Skolnick v. Altheimer & Grey*. This attorney Jeffrey Harris did not do. Attorney Jeffrey Harris engaged in professional misconduct, and acted to harm his client.

I believe that my attorney, Jeffrey Harris, acted to aid and/or abet, to act in collusion with, in connivance with, in confederation with, and participated with attorney Jaquays in interfering with my real property, in the slandering of my title to my property, and/or in not reporting attorney Jaquays to the Illinois disciplinary commission.

8. That although the "Leveling of the Field" statute applied to the attorney fees presented to the Court by attorney Edward Jaquays, you did not present to the Court the fact that attorney Edward Jaquays' Petition for Fees did not comply with this statute.
9. That under Illinois court decisions, including but not limited to, *Lustig v. Horn* and *Joslyn v. Joslyn*, you have forfeited the right to any attorney fees.
10. I further demand that you immediately file a Motion to Withdraw, serving me lawfully and properly, and include therein a copy of this Notice of Termination for Cause.
11. I further demand that you deliver to me within ten(10) days of the above date of this Notice, all papers that directly or indirectly concern case no. 99-D-283 (Will County), and payment in full of the monies paid to you and/or to the Law Firm of Jeffrey Harris.

Your former very dissatisfied client,


Ana Meyer